Competency-Based Education (CBE) in Ethiopia's Higher Education: Policy Directions and Reform Imperatives

Ashenafi Tsegaye Tegegn

Curriculum Design and Development, College of Education, Debre Berhan University
Email: ashenafit77@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0000-2375-6663

Executive Summary

Ethiopia's higher education system is at a critical juncture. With rising graduate unemployment—estimated at over 25%—and growing concerns about the relevance and quality of academic programs, the need for reform is urgent. Competency-Based Education (CBE) offers a strategic pathway to improve educational outcomes by aligning curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment with clearly defined competencies that reflect labor market needs, national development priorities, and global skills standards. CBE is increasingly recognized as a transformative educational paradigm that emphasizes mastery of essential knowledge, skills, and attitudes aligned with labor market demands and societal needs, rather than adherence to traditional, time-bound instructional models. In Ethiopia, the higher education sector has expanded significantly over the past decades; however, it continues to grapple with persistent issues of quality, relevance, and the employability of graduates. This policy brief critically examines how the strategic adoption of CBE can serve as a viable reform pathway to address these systemic challenges. By analyzing Ethiopia's current policy landscape and institutional practices, and drawing lessons from global CBE implementation experiences, the brief identifies key barriers, institutional readiness gaps, and pedagogical limitations. It concludes with practical and context-sensitive policy recommendations aimed at guiding universities and colleges toward effective implementation of CBE to foster a more agile, outcomes-oriented, and equitable higher education system.

1. Introduction

In the face of Ethiopia's growing youth population and rising graduate unemployment—estimated at over 25% among university graduates (MoSHE, 2023)—the urgency for higher education reform has intensified. The traditional input-based education system, often criticized for producing graduates with insufficient practical skills, has spurred the need for transformative alternatives. Competency-Based Education (CBE), with its focus on demonstrable outcomes and employability skills, emerges as a timely and strategic response. Globally recognized for bridging the gap between academic learning and labor market demands, CBE emphasizes student mastery of clearly defined competencies, personalized learning trajectories, and active industry engagement (OECD, 2020; UNESCO, 2021).

In Ethiopia, initial efforts to implement CBE are evident in the health sciences, engineering, and teacher education sectors. However, broader adoption remains inconsistent and under-resourced. This document outlines the policy directions, reform imperatives, implementation challenges, and actionable strategies for scaling CBE within Ethiopia's higher education system.

2. The Rationale for CBE in Ethiopia

The relevance of CBE is particularly strong in a context where:

- Graduate unemployment stands at 25.6%, with some regions reporting even higher rates (MoSHE, 2023).
- A 2019 tracer study found that only 42% of graduates secured employment within 12 months of graduation, and employers cited lack of job-readiness skills (MoLSA, 2020).
- Ethiopia's *Growth and Transformation Plan II (GTP II)* emphasizes the need for a skilled workforce aligned with industrialization and the digital economy.

CBE addresses these concerns by ensuring graduates acquire not only theoretical knowledge but also soft skills, digital literacy, and sector-specific competencies (Wagner, 2021).

3. Current Policy Landscape

The policy environment governing Ethiopia's higher education system has undergone significant evolution over the past three decades, reflecting an increasing awareness of the need for relevance, quality, and responsiveness to labor market demands. The Ministry of Education (MoE) has acknowledged the limitations of traditional input-based models and the urgency of transitioning toward outcome-based and learner-centered approaches. These orientations are visible in key national policy instruments that shape the higher education landscape.

The *Education and Training Policy (ETP)* of 1994, though primarily focused on access and equity, laid early groundwork by emphasizing the development of problem-solving skills, practical training, and relevance to national development goals (MoE, 1994). While ETP made no explicit mention of Competency-Based Education (CBE), it highlighted the importance of aligning curricula with the needs of the economy and society. This intent was further reinforced in the *Higher Education Proclamation No. 1152/2019*, which mandates universities to ensure graduate employability, enhance academic relevance, and institutionalize quality assurance

mechanisms (FDRE, 2019). The Proclamation outlines autonomy and accountability mechanisms for higher education institutions, which are necessary preconditions for any large-scale pedagogical reform such as CBE.

More recently, the *MoE Higher Education Reform Agenda (2021–2030)* outlined strategic priorities for transforming the sector, including revising curricula to foster 21st-century skills, promoting digital learning platforms, and encouraging partnerships with industries (MoE, 2021). This reform agenda makes specific reference to the need for learner-centered, flexible, and skills-based approaches to learning, principles that align closely with CBE. However, despite these policy directions, there remains a lack of a detailed operational framework that can guide the systemic implementation of CBE across universities. For example, national guidelines on learning outcomes, assessment standards, modular curriculum design, and faculty training specific to CBE are either absent or inconsistently applied. This policy–practice gap remains one of the critical challenges in mainstreaming CBE at scale.

Nevertheless, Ethiopia has taken some initial steps toward competency-based reforms through pilot initiatives in selected disciplines. In the health sciences, *the Harmonized Competency-Based Medical Curriculum,* first introduced in 2015 through collaboration between the Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH), universities, and international partners, represents a significant advancement (FMOH, 2021). This curriculum is designed around core professional competencies such as clinical reasoning, communication, patient safety, and ethics. Preliminary evaluations have indicated improved clinical readiness among medical graduates, with faculty reporting enhanced student engagement and better integration of theory with practice (FMOH, 2021).

In teacher education, elements of CBE have been incorporated into the revised *Postgraduate Diploma in Teaching (PGDT) framework.* This revised program emphasizes the acquisition of pedagogical competencies, continuous reflective practice, and school-based practicum experiences (Teferra & Benti, 2022). However, significant challenges persist. For instance, the absence of robust assessment tools to measure competency acquisition, limited faculty preparation in CBE principles, and inadequate mechanisms for feedback and mentoring reduce the effectiveness of these reforms (Alemu & Asrat, 2021). Additionally, professional

development initiatives for teacher educators often lack sustained institutional support and standardized content, further weakening the impact of CBE-oriented reforms in the teaching profession.

Furthermore, Ethiopia's national quality assurance frameworks—such as those managed by the *Education and Training Authority (ETA)*—have not yet been adapted to accommodate the unique features of CBE. Current quality assurance procedures remain heavily reliant on inputs (e.g., staff–student ratios, instructional hours) rather than outcome metrics like competency mastery, graduate performance, or employability (Abeya, 2014). Without this alignment, higher education institutions are constrained in their ability to innovate or adopt new competency-based standards.

In summary, while Ethiopia's policy discourse reflects increasing alignment with global trends toward learner-centered, outcome-driven education, actual implementation of Competency-Based Education remains limited in scope, fragmented in approach, and under-supported by national regulatory frameworks. Bridging this gap will require not only policy refinement but also the development of clear implementation guidelines, resource commitments, and performance indicators tailored to the Ethiopian context.

4. Key Implementation Barriers and Risks

While CBE holds significant promise for transforming Ethiopia's higher education landscape, its implementation is hindered by a range of systemic, institutional, and contextual barriers (MoSHE, 2021; UNESCO, 2021). These challenges span across policy incoherence, limited institutional capacity, weak assessment systems, and insufficient stakeholder engagement (Abeya, 2014; Alemu & Asrat, 2021). The shift from a traditionally content-driven, input-based education model to an outcome-focused, student-centered approach demands fundamental changes in curriculum design, pedagogy, faculty development, and quality assurance (Teferra & Benti, 2022). Moreover, the absence of enabling infrastructure, resistance to educational reform, and misalignment between higher education institutions and labor market needs further complicate the adoption of CBE (TVET Agency, 2023; Wagner, 2021). Without a deliberate and well-resourced approach to addressing these risks, the full potential of CBE in enhancing graduate employability and academic relevance is unlikely to be realized.al of CBE in enhancing graduate employability and academic relevance is unlikely to be realized.

Table 1: Summary of key implementation barriers and risks

Barrier	Description	Data/Example
Limited capacity	Faculty lack training in competency-based curriculum design, assessment, and feedback	Over 60% of university instructors report minimal exposure to CBE methodologies (MoSHE, 2022)
Assessment challenges	Inadequate systems for performance-based and formative assessments	Lack of digital platforms and rubrics
Resistance to change	Institutional inertia and preference for traditional lectures	Especially high in older public universities
Funding and infrastructure	CBE requires labs, simulation tools, industry placements	Only 12% of institutions meet basic technical resource requirements (MoE, 2021)
Fragmented stakeholder engagement	Limited partnerships with industries, NGOs, and professional bodies	70% of university-industry linkages are ad hoc and unstructured (TVET Agency, 2023)

5. Stakeholder Roles and Responsibilities

It holds that successful implementation of CBE in Ethiopia's higher education system requires the coordinated efforts of a wide range of stakeholders, each with distinct but interdependent responsibilities. CBE is not solely an institutional or curricular reform; it is a systemic transformation that hinges on active collaboration among government bodies, higher education institutions, industry partners, development agencies, and learners themselves (UNESCO, 2021; MoSHE, 2021). Governments are expected to provide strategic leadership, policy direction, and regulatory oversight, while universities must operationalize CBE principles through curriculum redesign, staff development, and institutional innovation (Teferra & Benti, 2022). Equally important are industry actors who must articulate labor market demands, co-develop competencies, and provide real-world learning opportunities through internships and apprenticeships (TVET Agency, 2023). Without a clearly defined and mutually accountable stakeholder framework, CBE initiatives risk fragmentation, inefficiency, and limited scalability (Wagner, 2021). A shared vision, supported by adequate resources and cross-sectorial mechanisms, is therefore critical to embedding CBE sustainably within Ethiopia's higher education ecosystem.

Table 2: Summary of Stakeholder Roles and Responsibilities

Stakeholder	Key Responsibilities
Government (MoE, MoSHE)	Develop national CBE standards, provide funding, quality assurance
Universities	Redesign curriculum, train faculty, establish industry ties
Industries/Employers	Define competencies, offer internships, validate assessments
Development Partners	Provide technical support, pilot projects, capacity building
Students	Engage in self-directed learning, participate in feedback loops

6. Prioritized Recommendations with Resource Needs

For CBE to be effectively institutionalized within Ethiopia's higher education sector, a set of strategic, well-sequenced, and resource-conscious recommendations must be pursued. Prioritizing these recommendations ensures that limited resources—financial, human, and infrastructural—are directed toward interventions with the highest potential impact (MoSHE, 2021; OECD, 2020). This also facilitates better coordination among stakeholders, minimizes duplication of efforts, and enhances accountability in reform implementation. Moreover, given the contextual constraints in Ethiopian higher education—including faculty capacity gaps, inadequate digital infrastructure, and weak university–industry linkages—each recommendation must be accompanied by a realistic assessment of resource needs and designated institutional responsibilities (Abeya, 2014; TVET Agency, 2023). By aligning reform priorities with achievable targets and clear ownership structures, the transition to CBE can move from pilot initiatives to systemic adoption, thereby enhancing graduate employability, learning quality, and national competitiveness.

Table 3: Prioritized Recommendations with Responsible Stakeholders and Resource Requirements

Priority Rank	Recommendation	Responsible Stakeholder(s)	Resource Needs
1	Develop a National CBE Policy and Implementation Framework	MoSHE, MoE, ETA	Policy experts, cross-sectorial task force, technical advisors, funding for stakeholder consultations
2	Train and upskill university faculty on CBE curriculum design and assessment	MoSHE, Public Universities	Faculty development programs, instructional design experts, CBE toolkits, digital training platforms

3	Establish industry-university advisory councils to co-develop competencies	Universities, Industry Federations	MoUs, coordination units, incentives for industry participation, sectorial workshops
4	Develop sector-specific CBE curriculum pilots (e.g., ICT, health, engineering)	MoSHE, TVET Agency, Universities	Curriculum experts, pilot funds, access to labor market data, external peer reviewers
5	Integrate performance-based assessment systems and rubrics into teaching	Universities, ETA	Assessment frameworks, digital platforms, assessor training, feedback mechanisms
6	Invest in digital learning infrastructure to support self-paced and modular CBE	MoE, Universities, MoICT	Learning management systems (LMS), e-portfolio tools, broadband access, IT maintenance support
7	Institutionalize M&E mechanisms to track CBE impact on employability	ETA, MoSHE, Labour Sector	Graduate tracer systems, employer surveys, competency audit tools, longitudinal study teams

7. Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E)

A robust Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) framework is essential to ensure the effectiveness, scalability, and sustainability of Competency-Based Education (CBE) reforms in Ethiopia's higher education system. Without continuous performance tracking, it becomes difficult to assess whether CBE initiatives are producing the desired outcomes in terms of learning quality, graduate readiness, and labor market relevance (OECD, 2020; UNESCO, 2021). To this end, a national M&E framework should adopt a multidimensional approach that combines quantitative metrics, qualitative insights, and iterative feedback loops.

First, *graduate employment tracking* should be institutionalized through 12-month and 36-month follow-up surveys to measure job placement rates, employment relevance to field of study, and income levels (MoLSA, 2020). Second, *employer satisfaction surveys* must be regularly conducted to assess graduate competencies, adaptability, and workplace performance, thereby allowing for real-time curriculum adjustments based on market needs (TVET Agency, 2023). Third, the framework should support *curriculum revision cycles* that are informed by updated competency frameworks and stakeholder feedback, ensuring responsiveness to emerging technologies and evolving sectoral priorities (MoSHE, 2021).

Additionally, *faculty certification on CBE principles* should become a standardized requirement, with periodic evaluations to ensure that instructors are equipped to deliver and assess learning outcomes in a competency-oriented environment (Teferra & Benti, 2022). Finally, *accreditation*

*mechanisms o*verseen by the Education and Training Authority (ETA) must be aligned with CBE standards—focusing not just on inputs such as facilities and staff numbers, but on outputs like student mastery of competencies and program impact on employability (Abeya, 2014).

Together, these elements create a comprehensive M&E architecture that not only monitors compliance but also drives continuous improvement and innovation across the higher education system. Institutionalizing such a system will help ensure that CBE implementation is not merely symbolic but leads to measurable and meaningful educational transformation.

8. Conclusion

CBE presents a transformative opportunity for Ethiopia to recalibrate its higher education system toward one that is inclusive, outcomes-driven, and aligned with the dynamic demands of the labor market. In a context marked by persistent graduate unemployment, skill mismatches, and limited employer satisfaction, CBE offers a framework that prioritizes mastery of practical skills, lifelong learning competencies, and sector-specific capabilities. However, realizing the full potential of CBE requires more than policy rhetoric—it demands evidence-based planning, sustained investment, and deliberate alignment among stakeholders, including government bodies, higher education institutions, industry, and civil society. By incorporating data-informed decision-making, prioritizing high-impact reforms, and embedding robust monitoring and evaluation systems, Ethiopia can transition from fragmented pilot programs to a coherent national strategy. If implemented with fidelity and contextual adaptation, CBE can serve as a catalyst for improving graduate employability, enhancing institutional responsiveness, and fostering inclusive socio-economic development.

References

Abeya, G. (2014). *Quality Assurance Policy and Practice in Higher Education Institutions in Ethiopia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of South Africa).

Alemu, B. M., & Asrat, D. (2021). Challenges of PGDT implementation in Ethiopia, *Journal of Teacher Education and Educators*, 10(2), 175–195.

Federal Ministry of Health, FMOH (2021). *Competency-Based Medical Curriculum: Evaluation Report*.

MoE (2021). *Education Statistics Annual Abstract*.

Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE). (2019). *Higher Education Proclamation No. 1152/2019*. Addis Ababa: Federal *Negarit Gazeta*.

Ministry of Science and Higher Education, MoSHE (2022). *Higher Education Institutions Performance Review*.
MoSHE (2023). *Graduate Employability Study*.

MoSHE(2021). Higher Education Reform Agenda (2021–2030). Addis Ababa.
Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, MoLSA (2020). *Graduate Tracer Study Report*.
OECD (2020). *The Future of Education and Skills: OECD Education 2030 Framework*.
Teferra, D., & Benti, M. (2022). *Postgraduate Teacher Education Reform in Ethiopia: Reflections on PGDT*.
TVET Agency. (2023). *Industry-University Linkage Assessment Report*.
UNESCO (2021). *Reimagining Our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education*.
Wagner, T. (2021). *The Global Imperative for Competency-Based Education*.
Ethiopian Technical and Vocational Education and Training Agency (TVET Agency). (2023). *Industry-University Linkage Assessment Report*